

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/682,701	10/08/2001	Bruno Jandasek	201-0133 DBK	1549		
28395	7590 11/18/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
BROOKS KU	JSHMAN P.C./FGTL	WINTER,	WINTER, JOHN M			
1000 TOWN CENTER 22ND FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075-1238			·3621			
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/200	DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	/	<u> </u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	,	Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	
	Office Action Communication	09/682,70	1	JANDASEK ET A	L.
/.	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		John M Wi		3621	
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communicati Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ddress
A SHOI THE MA - Extension after SI - If the pecal of the	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 K (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) dayeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. ' CFR 1.136(a). In no evention. ys, a reply within the statu y period will apply and will by statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tin tory minimum of thirty (30) day I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				•	
2a)	tesponsive to communication(s) filed or this action is FINAL . 2b) ince this application is in condition for a losed in accordance with the practice u	This action is no allowance except	- on-final. for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Dispositio	n of Claims				
5)□ C 6)図 C 7)□ C	claim(s) 1-16 and 19-23 is/are pending (a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wellaim(s) is/are allowed. claim(s) 1-16 and 19-23 is/are rejected. claim(s) is/are objected to. claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from cor			-
Applicatio	n Papers	•			
10)∐ Th A R	ne specification is objected to by the Exne drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[pplicant may not request that any objection eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the ne oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b)[to the drawing(s) becorrection is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a) jected to. See 37 C	
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119				•
12)	cknowledgment is made of a claim for f	uments have beer uments have beer e priority docume Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Application nts have been received e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	l Stage
Attachment(s)				
2) Notice o 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO lo(s)/Mail Date	/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	O-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/682,701

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C.

121:

- I. Claims 1-16, 19-23 are drawn to generating a cost estimate, classified in class 705 subclass 1.
- II. Claims 17 and 18, drawn to negotiating a price, classified in class 705 subclass 80.

Inventions I, and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because price negotiation is not necessary for cost estimation. The subcombination has separate utility such as negotiation,

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Examiner notes that is would be a burden to search multiple inventions given their separate status in the art as noted above.

The requirement is deemed proper and therefore made FINAL.

Via the paper filed on October 8, 2004 the applicant has elected the examination of invention I directed towards claims 1-16 and 19-23. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 29 and 32 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Status

Claims 1-16 and 19-23 have been examined

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefore, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1, 12 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

In claim 1 the applicant claims a system for generating a cost estimate, the system configured to: receive input specifying at least one item to add to a cost estimate wherein a burden associated with the at least one item is automatically added to the cost estimate, and output a first value chain for the at least one item by the item's constituent component and supply tier wherein tie value chain includes an image and burden information for the at least one item and each of its constituent components.

This process might be performed without the aid of any technology and therefore the claimed method is not within the technological arts.

In claims 12 and 19 the applicant claims a system for generating a cost estimate similar in scope to claim 1.

All that is necessary to make a sequence of operational steps in a statutory process within 35 U.S.C. 101 is that it be in the technological arts so as to be in concordance with the Constitutional purpose to promote the progress of "useful arts" In re Musgrave, 431 F.2d 882 167 USPQ 280 (CCPA 1970)

A claim is limited to a practical application when the method, as claimed, produces a concrete, tangible and useful result: i.e. the method recites a step or act of producing something that is concrete, tangible and useful. See AT&T v. Excel Communications Inc., 172 F3d at 1358, 50 USPQ2dat 1452.

Claims 2-11, 13-16 and 20-23 are dependant upon claims 1, 12 and 19 respectively and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M Winter whose telephone number is (703) 305-3971. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6, 1st Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James P Trammell can be reached on (703)305-9768. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

November 15, 2004 **JMW**